God's War on Terror ISLAM, PROPHECY AND THE BIBLE

A fresh understanding of Biblical prophecy from an Eastern perspective as viewed by an ex-Muslim terrorist

By Walid Shoebat



≈5*∞*

A World Gone Stupid



Tt is said that there are no stupid questions; that the only stupid question is the question that **⊥** is not asked. That's true, but it depends on two conditions: 1.) if the question is basic, such as what is 1+1? 2.) the one asking the question is still a child. Yet the most common question I get does not fit either category, it comes from so-called intellectuals, show hosts, professors, and students, all of the same feather. That question is: "If you were a PLO terrorist why are you not in prison and why is the FBI not arresting you?" These allegedly well-educated students don't seem to understand the very nature of their enemy. It is an enemy that is bound and determined to defeat the United States and rid the world of both the Christians and the Jews. The very enemy that has planted 150 pro-terror Muslim Student Associations in the top 150 universities and colleges across this nation, that are paid to carry out their activities by funds from student tuitions. Yet the leaders of the Muslim Student Associations are never asked the kind of inquisition-like questions with which I am constantly being barraged. And, the Muslim Student Associations are never questioned, even though the evidence for terror supported by them is demonstrable and extensive. They want me in jail, and they want to release all of the terrorists who are enjoying gourmet meals, soccer games, snorkeling and outings in Guantanamo. George Orwell, eat your heart out! Well, let me answer the question that was just raised for some of you sitting-ducks who still don't get it. The reason the FBI has not arrested me can be explained in the images below. I was from the terror group that says "approved," and the only difference is the badge on their foreheads.



THIS MESSAGE IS BROUGHT TO YOU BY THE WEST, USING YOUR TAX POLLARS TO SUPPORT ONLY THE FINEST JEW-HATING TERRORISTS

The terrorist on the left has a badge on his forehead that says "Hamas" the one on the right says "Fatah." Courtesy of Cox and Forkum

If you don't get the message in the image then you need help. But that's ok, we all must start somewhere. I am no better. I had really believed that dying as a terrorist would send me to paradise. I had a much bigger log in my eye, so who am I to pick on the speck in yours? Fatah is the PLO and the PLO (changed after Oslo to PA) is recognized by the world as no longer a terrorist group. Even though they do exactly the same things that Hamas does—exactly—bombings, killings, assassinations, and terror funding from everywhere on the globe. So, nothing has changed. But since the Oslo Accord, PLO terrorists have the seal of approval from the White House. They enjoy visits from the top of the terrorist chain and funding to the tune of hundreds of millions. They even receive training by the United States. "War on Terror?" you might ask. Well, all I can say is, "War on Terror, my foot." Only God will fight that battle. Mankind will bend and fall for false peace with terrorists and liars. But why is the U.S. in bed with them?

The most common U.S. tactic has been to choose one bully over another in the hope that the least-worst of the two bullies takes control and that country would become slightly more moderate. In Afghanistan, the Northern Alliance was the preferred bully to fight the Taliban. But if the Northern Alliance is indeed "moderate," why would they also call for the killing of Christian converts? When Russia was advancing into Afghanistan, the United States helped the Muslim fanatics against Russia, thinking that fanatical Muslims were better than Communist Russians. This simplistic approach of the United States to Middle East problems has been devastating. Ever since the day they began aiding Islamists against Russia, the error of their ways has become increasingly obvious. The defeat of the Russians only served to bolster the radical Muslim confidence, which in turn sparked the rise of Islamic fundamentalism. This level of cockiness was especially evident when President Carter did nothing to help the Shah of Iran and allowed the most Radical Shi'a to take over the nation. For now, the U.S. sees the PLO as moderates, yet anyone who has ever heard of Al-Aqsa Martyrs Brigade should know that it was created by the PLO and is still funded by them as part of the global Islamic Jihad movement. The PLO is no different than Hamas. Remember, if it quacks like a duck, it is indeed a duck. Those in Lebanon are no different either; Hezbollah's militia can be seen doing the "Step March" imitating the Nazis. The only difference between Hezbollah and the Nazis is their chant—instead of Heil Hitler in German you hear Heil Allah in Arabic.

Additionally, Israel has a revolving door due to pressure from America and Europe. They have released more terrorists than any other nation on earth, despite the fact that throughout history, Israel has suffered from terrorism more than any other nation on earth. I am not sure if this is sheer stupidity or just plain evil.

To illustrate this stupidity, let me give you an example. Imagine a hundred terrorists storming into the Vatican and holding everyone hostage in the Pope's headquarters including all clergy and priests, exchanging fire with the authorities, ransacking the place, destroying ancient relics and such. What do you think should be done to these terrorists after they are caught? Yet something far worse than this has already happened.

To Catholics, the Pope is the Vicar of Christ. Yet the Church of the Nativity is THE place where Christ Himself was born. But all of the PLO terrorists who desecrated the birthplace of The King of Kings have been released from jail. What is most ironic about this story is that the Vatican itself called for the release of the gang that defiled the second holiest place for Christians worldwide. This type of minimalist response when it comes to terrorism in Israel is quite a pathetic phenomenon. To me, it is simply shameful. When I lived in the Holy Land, my whole family was involved in the Palestinian mockery and blasphemy of Jehovah God. Now that I live in America and see how the U.S. aids terrorism, at times I wonder why they have "In God we trust" on their money. It seems that American foreign policy displays more trust in the PLO than it does in God.

Consider the case of terrorist Atef Abiyat; one of the most wanted Palestinian PLO terrorists and fugitives in the West Bank. He was from my village of Beit Sahur in Bethlehem. He was directly responsible for the deaths of five Israelis. Abiyat was known as the "Commander of the Al-Aqsa Martyrs Brigade" in the Bethlehem area. There he was not a fugitive however, for in reality, these terrorists gained the support of the extended neighborhood. At his funeral, the entire community showed up to show support for his life as a terrorist and his success in killing Israelis. The whole city was there. It's an unwritten rule that anyone who blows up Jews gets the full support of the community, regardless if he is Hamas or PLO. Abiyat and two associates were killed after a car in which they were riding exploded in my village when the Israeli snipers hit him with a missile, in response for his responsibility in the deaths of three Israeli soldiers. Yet the Palestinian sources claim that Abiyat's death was another example of an innocent Palestinian who was randomly killed by the evil Israelis. Atef was related to Hussein Abiyat, one of the first Palestinian gunmen to be killed in the Intifada. He and two women bystanders died after helicopter missiles hit his car. The two ladies were relatives of mine, and another man who was injured in the strike was my first cousin who was with me when I bombed Bank Leumi in Bethlehem—the bank which sits adjacent to the Church of the Nativity where Christ was born. Abiyat, along with many of his family members helped suicide bombers purchased hemical compounds or explosives, planned the suicide bombing at the International Conention Center in Jerusalem, occupied the Church of the Nativity, Christ's birth place, descrating it, and holding all the priests hostage. They even lit fires in the Church. Does anyone else wonder how the Islamic world respond if Jewish terrorists kidnapped some Muslim clerics in Saudi Arabia and occupied Mohammed's Masjid Al-Nabawi Mosque? The world saw how they behaved over a simple cartoon.

Yet with all of this (and more), the Vatican and the U.S. pressured Israel to set the terrorists who violated the Church of the Nativity free. These terrorists now reside in Italy, Greece, Ireland, and Portugal. Meanwhile, the Danish cartoonist and his family are living in hiding, moving from place to place, to avoid being assassinated.

In May 1985, over a thousand terrorists were released in exchange for three Israeli soldiers as part of the "Jibril deal." The terrorists who were released became the ideological and operational foundation for many terrorist activities in the years that followed. These releases are occurring constantly as a part of our war on terrorism; all the while Al-Qaeda is being hunted, PLO terrorists are being released to roam the streets. But this shouldn't be much of a problem, since these terrorists are only killing Jews! Whoever said that the Holocaust is over is a liar.

Now Primere Minister Ehud Olmert is entertaining a request to allow all of the terrorists who hijacked the Church of the Nativity to return home. After all, these poor souls are really not hijackers; they are simply victims whose *religion* has been hijacked. My cousin, Mahmud Khalil Awad-Allah, was also one released from prison after being given a life sentence for bomb planting and terrorism. This story is repeated a thousand times. The Israeli prison doors have become revolving doors, and the terrorists know it. International pressure eventually becomes too much, and Israel buckles to it, putting the terrorists back on the street to kill more innocent Jews. "Are we are fighting a War on Terror?" you might ask. Well, all I can say is, "War on Terror, my other foot."

≫9≶

So Who Corrupted The Bible?



Tgnorance of Satan's evil schemes encompasses Muslims who do not realize that their ▲ Jihad eschatology only fulfills *Biblical* prophecy—while claiming that the Bible is corrupt! Ignorance also infests Christendom, for not seeing Islam in the Bible, in fact throughout the whole manuscript, as you shall see. After I respond with much prophetic evidence to the accusation leveled by Muslims against Jews and Christians as corruptors of Scripture ask yourself this question: Who really corrupted the Bible? Who really has attempted to change God's words? With the monumental amount of evidence presented, the conclusion will be clear: It is the very ones who accuse the Bible of corruption who are the true corruptors. You will see that they took select portions of Scripture and after they twisted it and laced it with deadly cyanide, they concocted a whole new Bible that they call the Qur'an and claim it is the final *Word of God*. Like their god, the accuser, the corruptors accuse the virtuous of corruption, the murderers accuse the innocent of murder, the haters accuse the righteous of hate, the warmongers accuse the peaceful of war, the lovers of death accuse those who love life with cowardice, while cowards who promote instant death are given the title of the brave. Murderers are martyrs, their funerals are weddings, and their victims are criminals unworthy of even a funeral. Their heaven is debauchery and their earth is a hell devoid of even the most innocent music or wedding dance. *Everything is turned upside down*. They can't see any of this until they are permitted to think—deeply and exchange their holy cloak, these phony religious cellophane wrappings, with the fruit

of love and longsuffering, in order to offer life as a living—not a dead—sacrifice. Scripture already proclaimed this phenomenon: "Woe to those who call evil good and good evil; who put darkness for light, and light for darkness; who put bitter for sweet, and sweet for bitter!" (Isaiah 5:20).



≈10 €

Even Western Experts Don't Get It



Westerners love to bank on Muslims who do not agree with Osama. But what these Western experts fail to realize is that for many of these so called "moderate" Muslims, their only disagreement with Osama is his timing. Here is my challenge to the West, which I know that no one can refute: Westerners do not understand that when it comes to the Muslim world, even the most "moderate" of Muslims, if they are religious, all believe in the coming of the Mahdi and the establishment of the Caliphate to rule the entire Globe by changing world laws to adapt the Islamic Sharia. The coming of the Mahdi to religious Muslims is as holy of a belief as the coming of Messiah is to Christians and Jews.

To many Muslims, Osama has simply acted in haste and did not gain the proper permission from officially sanctioned Islamic jurisprudence. According to orthodox Islamic jurisprudence, only a sitting Caliph has the authority to declare a global Jihad. Thus to many Muslims, Osama jumped the gun, if you will, he acted in haste. But thinking that someone acted too soon is very different than thinking that what someone did was actually evil.

I can share many examples of what the problem is with Westerners who don't know how to deal with Islam and Muslims. Every time some Westerner has tried to argue with me about Islam, I have clearly demonstrated to them that every devout or even semi-devout Muslim believes in the coming of the Mahdi.

One example occurred in Long Island, New York, at a Jewish-Christian conference during the lunch break. A Messianic Jewish rabbi and I were taken by a pastor to a restaurant across the street from the church. "I have been visiting this restaurant for so many years, it's owned by Muslims and never once did I experience any bad treatment" commented the pastor. "Really" I asked? Then the waiter came and handed us our menus. "Assalamu Alaikum" (peace be upon you) I said to the waiter, "Wa-Alaykum Assalam Warahmatullahi Wabarakatuh," replied the waiter, in English this means "and peace be upon you, Allah's mercy and His blessings." Once I gave the official Islamic greeting in pertect classical Arabic a degree of trust developed and we began our private chat in Arabic

while the other two who knew no Arabic were chatting alone. He thought I was Muslim and we had a few laughs. I asked him in Arabic, "Do you believe this; I am sitting here with a Christian pastor and a rabbi?" I then began to quote Mohammed's famous End-Times prophecy of the trees and stones that cry out for Muslims to kill the Jews. "So what do you think?" I asked him, "Is it valid then to kill Jews?" "No," he replied, "the time is not ripe." Then he added, "We need to wait for the Mahdi!" and this was his last remark. Then he left. "So what did he say?" asked the rabbi who knew no Arabic, I replied, "For a Jew, today is your lucky day, you're not on the menu—yet."

Just recently, at a speaking event in Detroit, I was speaking with James Woolsey, the former director of the C.I.A (Central Intelligence Agency). He expressed his admiration of Sheikh Hisham Kabbani, who he said was his favorite moderate Muslim—after all Kabbani openly fights terrorism. I explained to Woolsey that Kabbani is a devout believer in the coming of the Mahdi. He is awaiting the Mahdi to come and establish Sharia law not only in America, but throughout the world. In fact, we will cite many of his comments in this book. But Mr. Woolsey was unable to respond. I believe that he was too shocked. You will find several of Kabbani's quotes cited throughout this book; as I said, he is a devout Mahdist. The West is encouraged when they see Muslims like Kabbani fighting terrorism, but they do not understand *why* he is fighting terrorism. For Kabbani, and millions of other Muslims like him, it is just a matter of timing.

At a speaking engagement in Los Angeles to a Jewish audience on Hanukkah in 2006, a moderate Muslim, Dr. Zuhdi Jasser of the American Islamic Forum for Democracy spoke. After his speech, I took him aside and asked him the question "Did Mohammed massacre the Jews of Banu Qurayza?" In which he answered "Yes, but they had a fair trial." Westerners would be shocked, this, out of the mouth of a moderate? This in a day and age when even the Pope made amends with Israel, yet for a Muslim it would be difficult. Why? Because the slaughter of the Jews was committed by the founders of Islam themselves—Mohammed, Omar his disciple, Ali his nephew, and the rest of the Caliphs, whether Umayyads, Abbasids, or Ottomans. Muslims always follow the example of Mohammed. When one questions a supposed moderate, it's always important to ask the right questions: Did Mohammed massacre the Jews of Arabia? Yes or no?

The question is a double-edged sword; if a Muslim denies it, then he has denied Islamic history and much of the text written in Al-Seera Al-Nabawiyeh (the Hadith), where deeds and works of Mohammed are documented. This would be like a Christian rejecting the New Testament. Unless he is liberal, he is cornered with the reality that Mohammed slaughtered innocent Jews. If they deny it, they are likely more liberal in their faith. If they acknowledge

that this slaughter occurred, then they are forced to either justify it or condemn it. I have never heard a single Muslim both admit that this happened yet condemn it.

Similarly, I had a dialogue with Dr. Khaleel Mohammed, a professor at San Diego University and a member of the board of the Center for Islamic Pluralism. Dr. Khaleel would not denounce the Khaibar massacre of Jews. He refused to apologize for Mohammed who killed or exiled virtually every Jew in Arabia. The Christian Reformation started when followers returned to the Bible and to the founders of their faith, who all very clearly prohibited genocide and murder. As we see in Luke 6:27-28: "But I tell you who hear me: Love your enemies, do good to those who hate you, bless those who curse you, pray for those who mistreat you. If one strikes you on one cheek, turn to him the other also." Yet Muslims cannot have a similar reformation. There is not a single verse in the Qur'an that says "love your enemies." Islam cannot have a proper reformation because the very founders themselves, Mohammed (the prophet of Islam); the Sahaba (his companions); and the Caliphs all participated in jihad by killing infidels and anyone who opposed the Islamic system.

Am I saying that Zuhdi Jaser, Khaleel Mohammed, and Hisham Kabbani are pro-terror? No. What I am saying is that even experts like Woolsey, the ex-director of the C.I.A., don't fully understand this issue. One day he will fully understand, but in the meanwhile, the U.S. will try to find more Northern Alliances which eventually will go south, if and when a Mahdi is declared and accepted by the Muslim world.

The best way to understand this case is to bring a case-in-point. Let's even take a different religion altogether—Judaism. Take the Neturei Karta for example. These are the Orthodox Jews who are staunchly opposed to Zionism and the establishment of Israel. Why do they oppose Israel? To the Neturei Karta, the Kingdom of Israel must not be established until Messiah comes. But never before! They will oppose the establishment of Israel regardless of how many Jews suffer from the Diaspora or the Holocaust.


The Neturei Karta are very similar to the Kabbanis, the Jasers, and the Khaleel Mohammeds of the Muslim world. These all reject any form of violent Jihad—for now. But when the Islamic Caliphate is established by the coming Mahdi, then it will be an entirely different situation. Then the fifteen percent of violent radicals in the Muslim world will become ninety percent. And the remaining ten percent of liberals who reject the Caliphate will be the first to go.

Just as the Jewish Neturei Karta is useful to Iran and the Jihadists, our Muslim "Neturei Kartas" are useful to us. But can we really trust these "for-now" moderates? Are these truly of the same feather as us? Yes and No. They are on our side, but only until the Mahdi

arrives or the Caliphate is established. Then look out. The Messiah of the Jewish Neturei Karta however is vastly different from Islam's Mahdi. While the Messiah of Judaism will establish a kingdom in which the lamb will lay peacefully with the lion, in the Mahdi's world, the Jewish lambs are all slaughtered, as are the Buddhists and Hindus and Christians and liberal Muslims. Need I go on?

Then you have Jihadists acting like Muslim "Neturei Kartas." Why are these not openly Jihadists? It's because diplomatic jihad is every bit a part of the greater jihad. If "moderate" Muslims can influence U.S. foreign policy, to not take action against Islam's interests, then why would they need to blow anything up? So long as the goal is being achieved, the means is irrelevant. Although one can find liberal Muslims, rarely do we find moderate movements that call for genuine reformation within Islam other than for show in the U.S. and other Western countries. Image control is also a big part of the greater jihad. But don't be fooled. According to Khaleel Mohammed, as he described in our dialogue on *Front Page Magazine*, a major obstacle that stops the reformation of Islam is: "The status forced on Muslims by non-Muslim powers, so that Muslims, instead of trying to genuinely reform their religion, are instead forced to defend [it] against horrendous lies." In other words, according to Khaleel, the reason that moderates don't have time to fight against terrorism is because they are too busy fighting us, the "Islamophobes"—silencing dissidents is another form of jihad.

Section III



Literal References to Christ's Wars With Muslim Nations

≈52 €

Messiah in Person Defeats Muslims Lead By The Mahdi



All in all, we have clearly seen that Islam is the Antichrist religion, but the evidence that Islam is *the* Antichrist religion? Well, more shocking facts are yet to come. Presiously we have presented much circumstantial evidence, now in Part III we will examine mard-hitting *DNA*-conclusive arguments to support our theory.

When comparing Old Testament heroes with Messiah, it is common to focus on Joseph as the suffering Messiah and David as King Messiah. Joseph's rejection by his brothers signifies Israel's rejection of Christ. David is a type of King Messiah because Christ's kingdom will be established in Jerusalem. But the one character in the Bible, that is virtually never focused upon when searching for types of Christ is Gideon—the warrior Messiah. Gideon is crucial if we want to understand what the Messiah will do during His war expeditions after He sets foot on the Mount of Olives to fight for the Battle of Jerusalem. Though it is rarely discussed, Christ, like Gideon will fight against "Midian." The Bible refers to Midian as Ishmaelites (Judges 8:22). They are the descendants of Abraham's fourth son with his concubine Keturah.

Like Gideon, the Bible portrays Christ as fighting against the inhabitant's of Arabia: God came from Teman, the Holy One from Mount Paran. His glory covered the heavens and his praise filled the earth. His splendor was like the sunrise; rays flashed from his hand, where his power was hidden" (Habakkuk 3:3-4). *Jesus in person* is returning from battle out of Teman in Arabia. How often is this discussed in churches? Jesus will physically return and will judge not only the inhabitants of Arabia, but also Cush, which includes the modern day Islamist nations of Sudan and Somalia: "I saw the tents of Cushan in distress, the dwellings of Midian in anguish" (Habakkuk 3:5-6).

Midian refers to the regions east of the Jordan River and southwards on into modern Saudi Arabia. This is the heart of Islamic territory. Portrayals of battles like this, with Christ fighting against Muslim nations, are actually found throughout the Old Testament. The enemies that come against Christ are described as this: "They come for violence; their faces are set like the east wind. They gather captives like sand. They scoff at kings, and