Tolerance of Intolerance | Faithfreedom.org

I have recently been reading and hearing about the desire on the part of Isalmists to spread sharia law throughout the world. There appears to be a movement through which the imposition of the law by peaceful, "intellectual" means is intended. While I have heard that "Islam is a peaceful religion," and that Islamist extremists do not represent the true faith, I am concerned by what I see as the absolute intolerance of dissenting views and/or beliefs by Islamists. I am also concerned that, here in the U.S., where freedom of the exercise of religious faith is a strongly held ideal and principle, we are vulnerable to what can happen in the name of religious tolerance and freedom.

I would be very interested in your perspective on how we can protect ourselves against the encroachment of Islamic fascism while still maintaining the lofty ideals of religious tolerance and freedom of worship and expression. I find your web site very interesting, and intend to read more as I have the time.

Rick

Dear Rick

Islam is not a religion of peace. Islam is a religion of lies and the claim that Islam is a religion of peace is one of those lies. There are hundreds of verses in the Quran where Muhammad enjoins fighting on his followers. He himself launched 78 raids in the last ten years of his life. When he felt he was strong he gave ultimatums and when he was weak he simply ambushed his victims. He massacred countless people and bragged that he had become victorious through terror. There is nothing peaceful in Islam.

You talk about extremists. There are no extremists in Islam. Yes you read it correctly. Islam is the only religion that has no extremists. There are two classes of Muslims: the real Muslims and the wishy-washy Muslims. The real Muslims are the ones who follow the Quran and the examples of Muhammad. They become terrorists. The wishy-washy Muslims are weak in their knowledge and in their faith. They are not moderate Muslims, but as the real Muslims call them, the "hypocrite" Muslims. They often know what Islam requires from them, but they are too blasé, too apathetic and unenthusiastic to be real Muslims, go to Jihad, sacrifice their life and comfort and become martyrs in the way of Allah.

Classifying Muslims as moderate and non moderate is offensive to Muslims. Speaking at Kanal D TV's Arena program, Turkey's PM Erdogan commented on the term "moderate Islam", often used in the West and said, 'These descriptions are very ugly, it is offensive and an insult to our religion. There is no moderate or immoderate Islam. Islam is Islam and that's it." [Milliyet, Turkey, August 21, 2007]

Religious tolerance and fighting Islamofascism are not mutually exclusive. Islam, although pretends to be a religion – is eschatological, talk about God and the afterlife – its goal is purely political. The objective of Islam is to establish its world domination. This is the same objective of communism and Nazism – very this worldly. As long as Islam cannot be separated from its political goal it should be classified as a political movement. It is a political movement that is intolerant of all religions. Therefore, to protect freedom of belief and tolerance it is imperative to eliminate doctrines.

Let me clarify this with an example. We believe in freedom. All humans are born free and must live freely. Despite that we think some individuals must be put behind the bar. This is not a contradiction. Those who violate the freedom of others should not be left free. Tolerance of intolerance encourages intolerance.

If this is applies to individuals, why it should not apply to ideologies? People must be free to believe in what they want, but they are not free to take someone else's freedom. If a religion is intolerant of other faiths that religion should not be tolerated.

I hope this answers your question.